Q. Evaluate the study by Milgram (obedience) in terms of <u>two</u> strengths and <u>two</u> weaknesses. At least <u>one</u> of your evaluation points must be about the use of covert observation. [10]

Ans. One <u>strength</u> of the study was the use of <u>covert observation</u> . Participants were observed and
Another <u>strength</u> was the <u>standardised procedure</u> . Right from the
One <u>weakness</u> was <u>psychological harm</u> . Several participants showed
y.
Another <u>weakness</u> was <u>lack of mundane realism</u> . Administering shocks as punishment for

Q. Evaluate the study by Perry et al. (personal space) in terms of two strengths and two weaknesses. At least one of your evaluation points must be about the use of blinding. [10] Ans. One strength of the study was the use of blinding. While inhaling a substance before performing Another <u>strength</u> was the use of <u>computerised tasks</u>, enabling a highly standardised procedure. In the CID paradigm task, One <u>weakness</u> was the <u>highly artificial</u> task. Participants had to only imagine Another weakness was representativeness of the sample. Only male college students were included. Preferences for

Q. Evaluate the study by Piliavin et al. (subway samaritans) in terms of <u>two</u> strengths and <u>two</u> weaknesses. At least <u>one</u> of your evaluation points must be about the use of field experiment.
[10]
Ans. One <u>strength</u> was the use of a <u>field experiment</u> . Participants witnessed a victim collapse on
Another <u>strength</u> was the collection of <u>quantitative data</u> . Researchers computed frequencies of
One <u>weakness</u> was <u>lack of control</u> . Researchers could not randomly assign
Another weakness was <u>lack of representativeness</u> of the sample. Participants were only

the data collection. [8]
Ans. One <u>similarity</u> in the studies was the <u>use of deception</u> . In the Milgram study, participants were made to believe that
were made to believe that
One <u>difference</u> was they <u>type of data collected</u> . In Milgram, both

Q. Explain <u>one</u> similarity and <u>one</u> difference between the study by Milgram (obedience) and the study by Perry et al. (personal space). At least <u>one</u> of your points must be about

space) and the study by Piliavin et al. (subway samaritans). Do <u>not</u> refer to the research method in your answer. [8]
Ans. One <u>similarity</u> was the use of <u>opportunity sampling</u> technique. Perry et al. used 54
One <u>difference</u> was in the <u>experimental design</u> . Perry et al. used a repeated measures design
such that all

Q. Explain one similarity and one difference between the study by Perry et al. (personal